

EVALUATION FORM

NAME OF APPLICANT						
EVALUATION DATE						
1. CANDIDATE'S BACKGROUND (weight 75%)						
	Top 90%	Top 75%	Top 50%	Top 25%	Top 15%	Top 5%
	Very low (1 point)	Low (2 points)	Sufficient (2,5 points)	Good (3 points)	Very good (3,5 points)	Excellent (4 points)
I. Educational background	D	D	D	D	D	D
II. Research training (skills, competence and publications, if any)	D	D	D	D	D	D
III. Motivation	D	D	D	D	D	D
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION (weight 25%)						
	Very low (1 point)	Low (2 points)	Sufficient (2,5 points)	Good (3 points)	Very good (3,5 points)	Excellent (4 points)
I. The research project is well written with defined goals	D	D	D	D	D	D
II. The scientific background is described	D	D	D	D	D	D
III. Objectives and expected outcomes are consistent with a three-year doctoral programme	D	D	D	D	D	D
IV. The project is original and the objectives provide a significant progress of knowledge in the field	D	D	D	D	D	D
ASSESSMENT:						
GENERAL COMMENTS (Max 1000 characters)						

Questions for the interview of short-listed candidates (Max 1000 characters)

1.

2.

3.

Assessment of the interview

Top 90% Top 75% Top 50% Top 25% Top 15% Top 5%

	Very Low (1 point)	Low (2 points)	Sufficient (2,5 points)	Good (3 points)	Very good (3,5 points)	Excellent (4 points)
Carry out research and produce data under supervision						
Have the ambition to develop knowledge of research methodologies and discipline						
Have demonstrated a good understanding of a field of study						
Be capable of critical analysis, evaluation and synthesis of new and complex ideas						
Be able to explain the outcome of research and value thereof to research colleagues						